

Configuration Managers Workshop

Work Group 1

Configuration Management Process Improvement

May 26, 2005

William Helm – Central Service Area

Kelly Ford – Western Service Area

Katherine Ronckovitz – Tech Ops ATC COMM

Leonard Simon – Terminal Services

James Winbush – NAS CM

Tony Eaton – NAS CM

Jay Rupp – Tech Ops ATC Facilities – Power Services

Denise Glover – Tech Ops Navigation Services

Kachelia Brown – Flight Services

Accomplishments

- Handed off the Class I and II proposal to Work Group 3 (FAA Order 1800.66 Updates)
- Handed off the training and awareness outline to Work Group 5 (Configuration Management Training/Awareness)
- Developed and categorized a new CM process improvement initiative list
- Developed outlines and recommendations for two initiatives selected by the group that will support the overall goal of 20% increased efficiency within three years

Process Improvement Initiative List

CATEGORIZATION: Visibility, Process, Organizational, Training/Awareness

1. Reduction of NCP Processing Times – [Process](#)

Test NCPs

Submit test plan and procedure

All other NCPs

Submit complete attachments

Why? It's a policy requirement that will eliminate rework.

How? Awareness and education. Report on how many require rework and the duration in rework status.

2. Prescreen Outcome and Process (WEBCM) - [Process](#)

Prescreen Outcome

If a case file is “disapproved” during prescreening, there is not an option to end the work flow. The only option is to send it to the CCB for them to end the workflow. The policy is written to allow the prescreening organization to end the work flow. WebCM does not comply with the policy.

Why? Web CM is not consistent with policy.

How? Change the policy to allow the case file to be sent to the CCB and change WebCM to give an option.

Prescreen Process – should AOS be involved

3. Comment Processing

Establish criteria for non-concurrence

ROC Process (WEBCM) - [Process](#)

CM coordinator in loop – web CM conflicts

Need report for ROC so they are all visible

Frivolous comments - [Training awareness](#)

4. Open NCPs – CCDs

Closures – [Process/Efficiency](#)

5. Complete all Baselines, FPPS TRACON/Tower Baselines – [Organization/Training Awareness](#)

6. Completion of Regional Facility Baselines – [Organization/Training/Awareness](#) Complete the baseline process for regional facilities

7. Integrate Safety Management Early into the NCP Process - [Process/Training/Awareness](#)

8. Eval process/distribution – [Process](#)
Limit Must evaluators to a tailored list
9. TES Program – CM link – [Training/Awareness](#)
10. Final review with all comments incorporated into the document – [Process/WebCM](#)
11. Enforce review time - [Efficiency](#)
Set/enforce processing times defined in .66
12. Set criteria for change - [Efficiency](#)
Combine multiple changes into one NCP
13. ERBs – [Efficiency](#)
14. Electronic drawings/drafting standard - [Process](#)
15. Electronic information management - [Process](#)
16. CCB consolidation/optimum number of boards - [Organization](#)
17. Customer survey - [Visibility](#)
18. Link all CM websites to WebCM portal – [Visibility/Efficiency](#)
19. NCP originator's or designee need to participate in CCB – [Training Awareness](#)
20. CM Information Brochure - [Visibility](#)
21. Link CM to NASTEP Audits - [Organizational](#)
22. ISR process as it relates to CM items - [Organizational](#)
23. MOAs – Tracking – [Organizational](#)
24. Method to capture waivers - [Organizational](#)
25. NAS CCB Co-chair backups - [Organizational](#)

Work Product Outlines

Comment Processing Improvement

Open NCP and CCD Closure Initiative

Comment Processing Improvement

Problem Statement: ROC process is open ended and undefined.

- 1) Proposed improvement:
 - a) ROC duration – Normal: 30 days past the 30 day ME phase
Time Critical: 14 days past the 14 day ME phase
Urgent: 10 days past the 10 day ME phase
 - b) Elevation to CCB co-chairs
 - c) CM coordinator/originator must be in the loop
 - d) Need report in WebCM for ROC so all comments are visible
 - e) Establish comment criteria (non-concurs, elimination of “erroneous comments”)
 - f) Establish ERBs as necessary
- 2) Impact of Change:
 - a) WebCM work flows need to be revised.
 - b) Improved efficiency through shortened NCP processing times.
 - c) Achieve Organizational Excellence:
 - i) Cost savings – less resources used
 - ii) Schedule adherence – shortened processing time = sooner CCD.
 - iii) Customer satisfaction – shortened processing time = sooner CCD.
 - d) WebCM work flows need to be revised.
 - e) Training
 - f) Increased participation/commitment from involved parties
 - i) Documentation updates (including AMS)
- 3) National or Local Ramifications (what happens if we don’t do it):
 - a) Continued long processing times
 - b) Customer frustration levels remain high
 - c) Static inefficiency
- 4) Proposed Implementation Method:
 - a) Management support
 - b) Update CM documentation (i.e. CCB Ops Procedures)
 - c) Update CM Policy
 - d) Update WebCM work flows
 - e) Create training/awareness package
- 5) Documentation that will be impacted by the improvement
 - a) Charters (if appropriate)
 - b) CCB Operating Procedures
 - c) CM Policy
 - d) CM Plans
 - e) AMS
 - f) WebCM Work Flows
- 6) Schedule/timeline
 - a) Short-term: (3 months) Update CCB Charter/Ops Procedures
 - b) Long Term (18 months): Update CM Policy, WebCM Work Flows, Creation of training/awareness package
 - c) Goal: Reduce NCP processing time by 20% from the date the NCP is assigned to the date the CCD is dispositioned.

Open NCP and CCD Closure Initiative

Problem Statement: Accurate metrics cannot be generated, as the official record (DOCCON) does not reflect the current status of NCPs and CCDs.

- 1) Proposed improvement:
 - a) Post reports to each CCB identifying open NCPs and CCDs
 - b) CCB representative to take the lead in clearing backlog (follow up with action offices)
 - c) NAS CM to post status of NCPs and CCDs on the NAS CM Organizational Website
 - d) Supports the new ATO structure by closing the loop on old information. This will streamline the transition of the CM responsibilities to the new ATO structure ("clean slate").
- 2) Impact of Change:
 - a) Accurate reportable metrics available for management review.
 - b) Increased accountability and credibility of the CSA system.
 - c) Increased level of effort and resources required
 - d) Increased CCB coordination with action offices
- 3) National or Local Ramifications (what happens if we don't do it):
 - a) Lack of accurate information.
 - b) Lack of credibility of the CSA system.
- 4) Proposed Implementation Method:
 - a) Just do it!
 - b) Management support
 - c) Address any awareness issues
- 5) Documentation that will be impacted by the improvement
 - a) NAS-MD-001 to be updated to reflect the outcome of the closure activity.
- 6) Schedule/timeline
 - a) As soon as possible.
 - b) Goal: 50% reduction in DOCCON backlog within three years beginning July 1, 2005. This should result in at least a 20% reduction in the average NCP age.